## FISCAL NOTE WORKSHEET (Revised Nov. 2006)

Agency: Utah State Office of Education	Bill Number	HB323	
Daniel Schoenfeld			
Requested By			
	Fax/Electronic Mail Transmittal		
Office of the Legislative Fiscal Analyst	Date: Janua	ry 25, 2007	
W310 State Capitol Complex		•	
Salt Lake City, UT 84114-5310	Name: Danie	l Schoenfeld	
538-1034 / Fax 538-1692			
	Fax Number:		
Please return to Fiscal Analyst by: January 25, 2007			
TITLE OF BILL: EDUCATION FUND CONFORMING AM	ENDMENTS		
This Bill Takes Effect: On Passage On July 1	X 60 Days after session	n Other	
Bill Carries Own Appropriation:			
FISCAL IMPACT OF PROPO	SED LEGISLATION		
A. Revenue Impact by Source of Funds:	First Year	Second Year	
1. General Fund			
2. Uniform School Fund - Free Revenue			
3. Transportation Fund			
4. Collections			
5. Other Funds (List Below)			
3. Other Funds (Elst Below)			
<u> </u>			
6 Local Funds			
7. TOTAL	\$0	\$0	
	ΨΟ	Ψ	
B. Expenditure Impact by Source of Funds:			
1. General Funds			
2. Uniform School Fund - Free Revenue			
3. Transportation Fund			
4. Collections			
5. Other Funds (List Below)			
6 Local Funds			
7. TOTAL	\$0	\$0	
C. Expenditure Impact Summary:			
1. Salaries, Wages and Benefits			
2. Travel			
3. Current Expenses			
4. Capital Outlay			
5. Other (Specify)			
6. TOTAL	\$0	\$0	
•	,,,		
D. Impact in Future Years?			
If no fiscal impact in first two years, indicate if there will be any im		piain. Aiso, inaicate any	
significant changes in fiscal impact beyond the first two years.(Use back side, if necessary.)  There is no fiscal impact with the implementation of this bill as it is making technical changes to refer to the Education			
Fund rather than the Uniform School Fund.			
I was raiser than the Origorni School Puna.			

Cathy DudleyMSP Budgetand Property Tax Specialist538-7667January 25, 2007Prepared ByTitleAgencyUSOEPhone No.Date

Bill Number:	HB323	Bill Title: EDUCATION FUND CONFORMING AMENDMENTS
E. Identify Sect	tions of the l	Bill That Will Generate the Additional Workload or Cost Increase
F. Expenditure	Impact Det	ails (Ties to totals in Section C)
List and docum	ent methodo	logy and/or assumptions used in determining need for workload and cost increase.
		ranges of personnel required, including benefits.
		d expenditure categories as shown in Section C.
		ements and cost associated with requirements of this bill.
(USE ATTACH	MENTS IF N	/ECESSARY.)
C N. E' I I.	<b>XX</b> 7*1	l Not Require Additional Appropriations?
	-	e no fiscal impact on your agency or institution.
		rate workloads, resources, or funding sources to eliminate need for additional
		ACHMENTS IF NECESSARY.)
		scal impact because it just modifies sections of the Utah Code to reflect changes
		eation of the Education Fund. It modifies sections to ensure the appropriate deposits and
The second secon		ations from, the Education Fund, and adjusts the definitions in the State Appropriations
and Tax Limita	tion Act to er	asure that the exemption for public expenditures is preserved.
H. If Bill Carri		
		ropriated is adequate to meet the purposes of the bill.
Are there futur	re aaaitionai	costs anticipated beyond the appropriation in the bill?
I. Impact on Lo	ocal Govern	ments, Businesses, Associations, and Individuals
		bill that drive the impact on local governments.
	_	nt are DIRECT and MEASURABLE. If direct and measurable data are not available,
	•	ally could have a fiscal impact? (USE ATTACHMENT IF NECESSARY.)
<u>Local School D</u>	istricts/Char	<u>ter Schools</u> :
27/4		
N/A		
Businesses and	<u>Association</u>	<u>L:</u>
N/A		
<u>Individuals</u> :		
inuviunuis.		
NI/A		

the creation of the Education Fund. It modifies sections to ensure the appropriate deposits and transfers into, and appropriations from, the Education Fund, and adjusts the definitions in the State Appropriations and Tax Limitation Act to ensure that the exemption for public expenditures is preserved.

Narrative Description of Bill: The bill modifies sections of the Utah Code to reflect changes necessary because of